Table 12. Rosa 'Pink Drift' average monthly quality ratings (scale of 1-5) on 4 ET $_0$ -based irrigation treatments in 2016. There were no significant differences between treatments.

Foliage	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	AVG
80%	4.8	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.7	4.0
60%	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.0
40%	4.7	4.3	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	4.0
20%	4.5	4.6	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.1
Flowering								
80%	5.0	2.6	1.8	3.2	1.5	3.7	2.3	2.9
60%	4.5	1.6	2.2	3.7	1.8	3.3	2.7	2.8
40%	5.0	2.0	2.3	3.3	2.2	3.3	3.2	3.0
20%	4.7	2.4	2.3	3.0	2.0	3.2	2.7	2.9
Pest								
Tolerance								
80%	4.8	4.3	5.0	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.2
60%	4.2	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.2
40%	4.6	4.7	5.0	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8	4.2
20%	4.7	4.6	5.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.3
Disease								
Resistance								
80%	4.7	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9
60%	4.2	4.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.7
40%	4.7	4.3	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.7
20%	4.7	4.2	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8
Vigor								
80%	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.7	4.7
60%	5.0	4.3	4.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
40%	5.0	5.0	3.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
20%	4.7	4.6	3.8	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5
Overall								
Appearance	e							
80%	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0
60%	4.8	3.0	3.2	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.2	3.9
40%	5.0	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.9
20%	4.7	3.6	3.2	3.8	3.7	4.3	3.8	3.9




Figure 9g. Rosa 'Pink Drift' average monthly plant growth index on 4 ET $_0$ -based irrigation treatments in 2016. Bars represent ± 1 SE.




Figure 9h. *Rosa* 'Pink Drift' average monthly relative plant growth index on 4 ET₀-based irrigation treatments in 2016. Bars represent ±1 SE. There were no significant differences between treatments.