PHOTOS, TABLES, AND FIGURES

Table 6. Average monthly quality ratings for Buddleia 'Tutti-Fruitti' on 4 ET $_0$ -based irrigation levels in 2014.

leveis III 2014	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	AVG
Foliage									
80%	5.0	4.5	3.8	2.8	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1
60%	4.8	4.3	3.8	2.4	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.5
40%	5.0	4.5	3.8	2.6	3.0	3.7	4.2	4.0	3.8
20%	5.0	4.5	4.0	2.7	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9
Flowering									
80%		1.0	3.8	2.2	4.8	3.3	4.3	3.0	3.2
60%		1.0	3.3	1.5	3.3	3.2	3.0	2.6	2.6
40%		1.0	3.5	2.7	4.2	3.0	4.2	2.8	3.0
20%		1.0	4.0	2.2	4.2	3.3	3.7	2.7	3.0
Pest Tolerance	e	1.0	1.0	2.2	1.2	3.3	3.7	2.7	5.0
80%	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
60%	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0 4.9
40%	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9
20%	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Disease Resist		3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
60%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.5	5.0	5.0	3.0 4.9
40%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
20%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0 5.0
Vigor	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
80%	4.5	4.0	4.5	3.7	4.7	3.7	4.5	3.8	4.2
60%			4.3						
40%	3.8	3.8		2.8	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.2	3.4
20%	4.8	4.7	4.8	3.8	4.5	3.8	4.7	4.2	4.4
Overall Appea	4.8	4.7	4.8	3.7	4.3	3.7	4.7	4.2	4.4
80%		4.0	2.0	2.6	2.0	4.2	4.0	4.2	2.0
60%	4.0	4.0	3.8	2.6	3.8	4.3	4.8	4.2	3.9
40%	4.2	3.7	3.8	2.1	3.0	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.4
20%	4.0	3.8	3.7	2.5	3.4	3.7	4.7	4.1	3.7
2070	4.0	3.8	4.1	2.8	3.5	3.8	4.4	4.0	3.8

PHOTOS, TABLES, AND FIGURES




Figure 16a. Average monthly plant growth index of *Buddleia* 'Tutti-Fruitti' in 2014 on 4 ET $_{o}$ -based irrigation levels. Bars represent \pm 1 SE. There were no significant differences using ANOVA and Tukey's HSD at p< 0.05, except between 60% and 40% in the month of August.




Figure 16b. Average monthly relative plant growth index of *Buddleia* 'Tutti-Fruitti' in 2014 on 4 $\rm ET_o-$ based irrigation levels. Bars represent \pm 1 SE. There were no significant differences using ANOVA and Tukey's HSD at p< 0.05.